



INITIAL EDUCATION PERFORMANCE AUDIT REPORT

FOR

DUNBAR PRIMARY CENTER

KANAWHA COUNTY SCHOOL SYSTEM

DECEMBER 2008

WEST VIRGINIA BOARD OF EDUCATION

Table of Contents

	Page
Introduction	2
Education Performance Audit Team	2
School Performance	3
Annual Performance Measures For Accountability	4
Education Performance Audit.....	5
High Quality Standards	5
Indicators Of Efficiency	7
Building Capacity To Correct Deficiencies.....	8
Identification Of Resource Needs.....	9
Early Detection And Intervention.....	10
Education Performance Audit Summary	11

INTRODUCTION

An announced Education Performance Audit of Dunbar Primary School in Kanawha County was conducted on November 5, 2008. The review was conducted at the specific direction of the West Virginia Board of Education. Section 4.5.2 of Policy 2320 discusses accountability of Kindergarten through Grade 2 schools. "The accountability of public schools without grades assessed (i.e., K-2 schools) will be based on attendance and test scores from the feeder school unless the West Virginia Board of Education specifically directs an on-site review of such schools which would substitute for AYP for a three (3) year period."

The Education Performance Audit Team reviewed the Five-Year Strategic Improvement Plan, interviewed school personnel and school system administrators, observed classrooms, and examined school records. The review was limited in scope and concentrated on the subgroups that failed to achieve adequate yearly progress (AYP).

EDUCATION PERFORMANCE AUDIT TEAM

Office of Education Performance Audits Team Chair – Allen D. Brock, Coordinator

West Virginia Department of Education Team Leader – Shelly Stalnaker, Coordinator,
Office of Healthy Schools

TEAM MEMBERS

Name	Title	School/County
Dr. Jack Kaufman	Professor of Education	Mercer County
Mary Alice Kaufman	Board of Education Member	Mercer County

SCHOOL PERFORMANCE

This section presents the Annual Performance Measures for Accountability and the Education Performance Audit Team's findings.

39 KANAWHA COUNTY
Dr. Ronald E. Duerring, Superintendent
222 DUNBAR PRIMARY CENTER – Passed
Kay Lee, Principal
Grades PK - 02
Enrollment 359 (2nd month 2006-07 enrollment report)

**WESTEST RESULTS FOR FEEDER SCHOOL
DUNBAR INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Information by Class

Mathematics											
Class	Tested Enr.	FAY Enr.	Tested	FAY Tested	Part. Rate	Novice	Below Mastery	Mastery	Above Mastery	Distinguished	Proficient
03	96	91	96	91	100.00	12.09	18.68	49.45	14.29	5.49	69.23
04	103	91	102	90	99.03	2.22	23.33	43.33	18.89	12.22	74.44
05	89	89	89	89	100.00	3.37	12.36	40.45	26.97	16.85	84.27

Reading											
Class	Tested Enr.	FAY Enr.	Tested	FAY Tested	Part. Rate	Novice	Below Mastery	Mastery	Above Mastery	Distinguished	Proficient
03	96	91	96	91	100.00	6.59	19.78	42.86	29.67	1.10	73.63
04	103	91	102	90	99.03	4.44	11.11	56.67	22.22	5.56	84.44
05	89	89	89	89	100.00	7.87	13.48	48.31	23.60	6.74	78.65

Enr. - Enrollment
FAY - Full Academic Year
Part. - Participation

ANNUAL PERFORMANCE MEASURES FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

5.1.1. Achievement.

Data provided by the principal was a chart showing the most recent DIBELS Benchmark results. The chart showed that of all students at the school, 11 percent were at the Intensive level, 30 percent were at the Strategic level, and 59 percent were at the Benchmark level.

Grade 3 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Information by Class data at the feeder school for Dunbar Primary indicate that students scored lower on the WESTEST than the Grades 4 and 5. The Grade 3 mathematics score was 69.23 percent proficient, compared to 74.44 percent proficient for Grade 4 and 84.27 percent proficient for Grade 5. The Grade 3 reading score was 73.63 percent proficient, compared to 84.44 percent proficient for Grade 4 and 78.65 percent proficient for Grade 5.

EDUCATION PERFORMANCE AUDIT

HIGH QUALITY STANDARDS

Necessary to Improve Performance and Progress.

7.1. Curriculum

- 7.1.2. High expectations.** Through curricular offerings, instructional practices, and administrative practices, staff demonstrates high expectations for the learning and achieving of all students and all students have equal educational opportunities including enrichment and acceleration. (Policy 2510)

One teacher corrected student work and announced to the students what their problems were in front of the whole class. She appeared to be negative in disciplinary matters by scolding the students in front of their peers. She then gave an assignment and did not check for understanding before having the students begin work and seemed aggravated when a child had a question.

One teacher had a disorganized classroom where several students were talking about non-educational items during work time. These students were not redirected by the teacher.

Several students were off task in another teacher's class. The teacher attempted to redirect the students, but was successful with only a few students and the rest continued to remain off task.

- 7.1.9. Programs of study.** Programs of study are provided in grades K-12 as listed in Policy 2510 for elementary, middle, and high school levels, including career clusters and majors and an opportunity to examine a system of career clusters in grades 5-8 and to select a career cluster to explore in grades 9 and 10. (Policy 2510; Policy 2520)

Not all of the classes were providing 90 uninterrupted minutes of reading instruction.

- 7.1.13. Instructional day.** Priority is given to teaching and learning, and classroom instructional time is protected from interruption. An instructional day is provided that includes a minimum of 315 minutes for kindergarten and grades 1 through 4; 330 minutes for grades 5 through 8; and 345 minutes for grades 9 through 12. The county board submits a school calendar with a minimum 180 instructional days. (W.Va. Code §18-5-45; Policy 2510)

Three of the four Grade 2 classes did not have the required 315 instructional minutes. None of the five Kindergarten classes had at least 315 instructional minutes.

7.2. Student and School Performance

- 7.2.3. Lesson plans and principal feedback.** Lesson plans that are based on approved content standards and objectives are prepared in advance and the principal reviews, comments on them a minimum of once each quarter, and provides written feedback to the teacher as necessary to improve instruction. (Policy 2510; Policy 5310)

All four Grade 2 classes had insufficient lesson plans. Three of the six Grade 1 classes also had insufficient lesson plans. One Grade 1 teacher, while teaching on a higher level of Bloom's taxonomy, did not have lesson plans through the remainder of the week and the lesson plans for the day did not match the lessons being taught for the day. It would be difficult for a substitute teacher to instruct from the lesson plans provided in these classes. A standard lesson plan format was used, but with very sketchy information for each class in them.

RECOMMENDATION

- 7.1.7. Library/educational technology access and technology application.** Teachers reported that the technology in the building was antiquated and needed to be repaired and/or upgraded. The Team recommended that the school and county investigate means to upgrade the technology to acceptable standards.

Indicators of Efficiency

Indicators of efficiency for student and school system performance and processes were reviewed in the following areas: Curriculum delivery, including but not limited to, the use of distance learning; facilities; administrative practices; personnel; utilization of regional education service agency, or other regional services that may be established by their assigned regional education service agency. This section contains indicators of efficiency that the Education Performance Audit Team assessed as requiring more efficient and effective application.

The indicators of efficiency listed are intended to guide Dunbar Primary Center in providing a thorough and efficient system of education. Kanawha County is obligated to follow the Indicators of Efficiency noted by the Team. Indicators of Efficiency shall not be used to affect the approval status of Kanawha County or the accreditation status of the schools.

8.1.1. Curriculum. The school district and school conduct an annual curriculum audit regarding student curricular requests and overall school curriculum needs, including distance learning in combination with accessible and available resources.

It is imperative that the principal ensure that all teachers exhibit high expectations for all students and provide a positive role model for students. All students must be kept on task with high quality instruction for the entire class period.

The 90 minute uninterrupted reading instruction must be preserved and occur daily.

Lesson plans must be of high quality and show the steps for instruction for the day. The principal must monitor all lesson plans and provide corrective feedback for all lesson plans that are insufficient.

Building Capacity to Correct Deficiencies

West Virginia Code §18-2E-5 establishes that the needed resources are available to assist the school or school system in achieving the standards and alleviating the deficiencies identified in the assessment and accountability process. To assist Dunbar Primary Center in achieving capacity, the following resources are recommended.

- 18.1. **Capacity building is a process for targeting resources strategically to improve the teaching and learning process. School and county electronic strategic improvement plan development is intended, in part, to provide mechanisms to target resources strategically to the teaching and learning process to improve student, school, and school system performance.**

The Team determined that capacity must be developed at Dunbar Primary Center in the classes identified in this report to provide high quality instruction for all students throughout the entire class periods.

The Team recommended that the Kanawha County School System Superintendent and the school administrator contact Dr. Karen Huffman, Division of Special Projects - Superintendent's Center for 21st Century Schools, West Virginia Department of Education at (304) 558-7010 to arrange a School Support System for correcting the deficiencies and improving student and school performance.

Identification of Resource Needs

A thorough and efficient system of schools requires the provision of an adequate level of appropriately managed resources. The West Virginia Board of Education adopted resource evaluation as a part of the accreditation and evaluation process. This process is intended to meaningfully evaluate the needs for facilities, personnel, curriculum, equipment and materials in each of the county's schools and how those impact program and student performance.

19.1. Facilities, equipment, and materials. Facilities and equipment specified in Policy 6200, Chapters 1 through 14, are available in all schools, classrooms, and other required areas. A determination will be made by using the Process for Improving Education (W.Va. Code §18-2E-5) whether any identified deficiencies adversely impact and impair the delivery of a high quality educational program if it is below the West Virginia Board of Education standards due to inadequacies or inappropriate management in the areas of facilities, equipment, and materials. The Education Performance Audit Teams shall utilize an assessment instrument for the evaluation of school facilities which generally follows the requirements of Policy 6200. Note: Corrective measures to be taken in response to any identified resource deficiency will of necessity be subject to the feasibility of modifying existing facilities, consideration of alternative methods of instructional delivery, availability of funding, and prioritization of educational needs through Comprehensive Educational Facilities Plans and the West Virginia School Building Authority. This policy does not change the authority, judgment, or priorities of the School Building Authority of West Virginia who is statutorily responsible for prioritizing "Need" for the purpose of funding school improvements or school construction in the State of West Virginia or the prerogative of the Legislature in providing resources. (Policy 6200 and *Tomblin v. Gainer*)

According to the items checked in the School Facilities Evaluation Checklist, the school was below standard in the following areas. The principal checked and the Team confirmed the following school facility resource needs.

19.1.10. Specialized instructional areas. The art facility did not have adequate storage. The music facility was not located away from quiet areas of the building.

Early Detection and Intervention

One of the most important elements in the Education Performance Audit process is monitoring student progress through early detection and intervention programs.

The principal must monitor classroom instruction and ensure that all teachers are providing high quality instruction to all students throughout the entire class periods. The principal must also monitor lesson plans and provide corrective action plans to increase the quality of the lesson plans.

Education Performance Audit Summary

The Team identified four high quality standards – necessary to improve performance and progress to meet 5.1.1 Achievement. They include the following.

- 7.1.2. High expectations.
- 7.1.9. Programs of study.
- 7.1.13. Instructional day.
- 7.2.3. Lesson plans and principal feedback.

The Team presented one recommendation, noted an indicator of efficiency, offered capacity building resources, and noted an early detection and intervention concern.

Dunbar Primary Center's Education Performance Audit was limited in scope to the performance and progress standards related to student and school performance. The Team also conducted a resource evaluation to assess the resource needs of the school. The Team submits this draft report to guide Dunbar Primary Center in improvement efforts. Pursuant to W.Va. Code §18-2E-5, the school and county have until the next accreditation cycle to correct deficiencies noted in the report.

Section 17.10. of West Virginia Board of Education Policy 2320 states:

If during an on-site review, a school or county board is found to be in noncompliance with one or more standards, the school and county electronic strategic improvement plans must be revised and shall be submitted to the West Virginia Board of Education within 30 days of receipt of the draft written report. The plans shall include objectives, a time line, a plan for evaluation of the success of the improvements, a cost estimate and a date certain for achieving full accreditation and/or full approval status as applicable.

Based upon the results of the Education Performance Audit, the Office of Education Performance Audits recommends that the West Virginia Board of Education direct Dunbar Primary Center and Kanawha County to revise the school's Five-year Strategic Plan with 30 days and correct the findings noted in the report by the next accreditation cycle.